Contribution ID: c430935d-fe53-4572-a9eb-ef87d534ff7e

Date: 10/05/2023 09:44:40

Public consultation on new product priorities under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

1.1 Background to this consultation

A proposal for a Regulation on <u>Ecodesign for Sustainable Produ</u>cts (ESPR) was adopted by the Commission in March 2022. The ESPR is a framework for setting ecodesign requirements on products to improve their circularity, energy performance and other environmental sustainability aspects. While the ESPR proposal provides a general framework for setting rules, the actual product requirements will be adopted only in a second stage. The Commission should therefore adopt and regularly update a working plan, setting out product priorities for which ecodesign requirements should be laid down.

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather your views on what the new product priorities under the ESPR should be.

The questions build on preliminary assessments (see here) carried out by the Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC), which has identified several product groups and horizontal measures that may be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR, once it enters into force. The responses of the public to this questionnaire will help the Commission to further refine this analysis, close information gaps and build consensus on future action under the ESPR.

A key methodological starting point for the JRC's preliminary assessments was **Article 16** of the <u>ESPR proposal</u>, which requires the Commission to prioritise products and horizontal measures based on a set of criteria pertaining in particular to the *potential contribution that could be made to the EU's climate, environmental and energy objectives*, as well as the *potential for improving* the product aspects identified by the proposal from an environmental point of view, *products' market share* and the *distribution of product impacts across the value chain*. Factors such as products' environmental, sustainability and circularity impacts, improvement potential from an environmental sustainability point of view, and as well as existing policy gaps and proportionality of costs related to the improvement potential were therefore amongst the considerations taken into account.

The ESPR proposal builds on the existing <u>Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC</u>, which currently covers energy-related products only. It should be clarified that this consultation focuses on identifying 'new products' that are *not* currently within the scope of Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC. The future ESPR working plan will nevertheless cover both new and energy-related products. (Please note: the Commission will carry out a

separate initiative to prioritise requirements for energy-related products, for which it will be necessary to take the progress in implementing the <u>Ecodesign and Energy Labelling Working Plan 2022-2024</u> into account.)

Given the wide scope of the ESPR, some products identified in this questionnaire will also be subject to separate product-specific or horizontal legislation at EU level. For these products, as a general principle the ESPR will only intervene when the environmental sustainability dimensions of those products cannot be fully and appropriately addressed by other instruments. For example for **chemicals**, ESPR could only take action where needed on aspects *not* dealt with under existing chemicals regulation (e.g. Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006); for **packaging**, it could only act where needed on *targeted aspects* specifically related to a packaged product covered by its measures, leaving revised Packaging and Packaging Waste rules to cover stand-alone packaging; for **construction products**, it could take action if the environmental sustainability dimensions of these products could not be fully and appropriately addressed by the revised Construction Products Regulation (with the exception of energy-related construction products, for which the ESPR will be the main regulatory instrument). As the ecodesign requirements that ESPR will set will help strengthen the EU's general circularity framework, including by improving product recyclability (including of the critical raw materials contained in products), it will also synergise with key pillars of upcoming EU initiatives in the area of **Critical Raw Materials** (CRMs).

The <u>JRC report</u> underpinning this consultation includes a preliminary assessment of product-specific legislation in this respect. However, a more precise assessment of the ecodesign requirements to be potentially laid down under ESPR, and their added-value in comparison with product-specific legislation, will be undertaken in a second stage, following adoption of the ESPR working plan, in the context of the preparatory studies foreseen. Questions on potential measures identified at this stage are therefore preliminary. Before adopting all rules under ESPR, the Commission will undertake thorough impact assessments and further consultations will also be held.

While the ESPR proposal is yet to be adopted by the co-legislators, and is therefore subject to further change, it is hoped that the results of this consultation will be able to feed into preparation of the new product priorities under the ESPR.

1.2 Structure of this questionnaire

You are invited to respond to the questionnaire regardless of your level of expertise. You can save your answers as draft and finish the survey later if necessary. The questionnaire is available in all languages. The questionnaire comprises the following sections:

- 'About you': general information about you, the respondent, to better understand your perspective
- End-use products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Intermediate products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Horizontal measures: including general questions and questions per horizontal measure
- **Final remarks**: allows you to provide final remarks and/or upload a document that you think is relevant to your views

Please note that all sections (with the exception of the 'About you' section) are optional: you can

choose which you wish to complete, depending on your main area(s) of interest.

If you have any questions, please contact ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu

Your opinion matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this consultation. The results of the consultation will be published on Have your say.

For further information, please see the following background information:

- Consultation webpage
- JRC background work and analysis

*Language of my contribution

- ESPR proposal
- ESPR impact assessment

Bulgarian

Croatian

Slovenian

About you

Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
© German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak

0	Spanish
	Swedish
¹I am	giving my contribution as
•	Academic/research institution
	Business association
	Company/business
_	Consumer organisation
	EU citizen
_	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen
0	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
_	Public authority
0	Trade union
0	Other
Plea	se specify your sector
	Agriculture,
	Ceramic products
_	Chemicals and chemical products
	Computers, electronic and optical products
	Construction
	Cosmetics
	Electrical equipment
	Energy-related products, including ICT and electronics
	Fixtures (e.g. toilets and urinals)
	Food, feed and drink
	Forestry and fishing
	Furniture
	Hygiene products
V	Materials (e.g. metals, plastics, paper)
	Office equipment
	Other [please specify] Packaging
	Retail & wholesale trade
	netan a wholesale hade

	Textiles and footwear
	Transport equipment
(Fo	r industry stakeholders) Please indicate the type of product your organisation
prod	duces or represents:
	Absorbent Hygiene Products
	Agricultural products
	Aluminium
	Bed Mattresses
	Ceramic products (including toilets and urinals)
	Chemicals
	Construction products
	Cosmetic Products
	Detergents
	Energy-related products, including ICT and electronics
	Fishing Nets and Gears
	Food, feed and drink
	Furniture
V	Glass
	Iron and Steel
	Lubricants
	Non-ferrous metals
	Office equipment
V	Other (please specify)
	Packaging
	Paints and Varnishes
	Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
	Plastic and Polymers
	Textiles and Footwear
	Toys
	Transport equipment
	Tyres

Free Text Question

Text of 1 to 50 characters will be accepted

(For industry stakeholders) Please indicate the level of the market(s) you are
active on:
Local market
Regional market
☑ EU market
Non-EU market
Worldwide market
Not applicable
*First name
Justin
Surname Surname
Loup
Email (this won't be published)
justin.loup@glassforeurope.com
Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Glass for Europe
Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)

255 character(s) maximum

Transparency register number

Flat glass (windows, automotive, appliances)

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

15997912445-80

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

	Afghanistan	Djibouti	0	Libya		Saint Martin
	Åland Islands	Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
						Miquelon
	Albania	Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
		Republic				and the
						Grenadines
	Algeria	Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
	American Samoa	Egypt		Macau		San Marino
	Andorra	El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
						Príncipe
	Angola	Equatorial Guinea	a 🔘	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
	Anguilla	Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	Antarctica	Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
	Antigua and	Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda					
	Argentina	Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
	Armenia	Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
	Aruba	Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
	Australia	Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria	Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
	Bahamas	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
	Bahrain	French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
	Bangladesh	French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
		and Antarctic				and the South
		Lands				Sandwich
						Islands
	Barbados	Gabon		Monaco		South Korea
	Belarus	Georgia		Mongolia		South Sudan
0	Belgium	Germany		Montenegro	0	Spain
	Belize	Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka

0	Benin		Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
0	Bermuda		Greece		Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan		Greenland	0	Myanmar/Burma	0	Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
0	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia	0	Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe		Nauru	0	Switzerland
	Eustatius and		·				
	Saba						
0	Bosnia and		Guam		Nepal	0	Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana		Guatemala		Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island		Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea	0	New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian		Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua	0	Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin		Guyana		Niger		The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei		Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria		Heard Island and	0	Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong		Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea		Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia		Tunisia
0	Canada		India		Norway	0	Türkiye
0	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic	_		_		_	
0	Chad	0	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine

0	China	0	Israel	0	Papua New	0	United Arab
<u></u>	Object of the second	<u></u>	II - I	0	Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay		United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States
0	Cocos (Keeling)	0	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
	Comoros		Jordan		Poland		US Virgin Islands
	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam
	Cuba		Kyrgyzstan		Russia		Wallis and
							Futuna
	Curaçao		Laos		Rwanda		Western Sahara
	Cyprus		Latvia		Saint Barthélemy		Yemen
	Czechia		Lebanon		Saint Helena		Zambia
					Ascension and		
					Tristan da Cunha	l	
	Democratic		Lesotho		Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe
	Republic of the				Nevis		
	Congo						
0	Denmark		Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

A. END-USE PRODUCTS

'End-use products' are products sold directly to consumers and that are ready for their intended use upon sale (i.e. they do not require further [professional] manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for use).

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following end-use products have been identified as potentially suitable for first action under the ESPR:

- Textiles and Footwear
- Furniture
- Ceramic products
- Tyres
- Detergents
- Bed Mattresses
- Lubricants
- Paints and Varnishes
- Cosmetic products
- Toys
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Absorbent Hygiene Products

For each end-use product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification	of the following end-use products for p
otential first action under the ESPR?	

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Textiles and Footwear	0	0	•
Furniture	0	0	•
Ceramic products	0	0	•
Tyres	0	0	•
Detergents	0	0	•
Bed Mattresses	0	0	•
Lubricants	0	0	•
Paints and Varnishes	0	0	•
Cosmetic products	0	0	•
Toys	0	0	•
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	•
Absorbent Hygiene Products	0	0	•

2. Are there any <u>othe</u>	<u>r</u> end-use products you be	elieve should be added	to this
list?			

10	00 character(s) maximum

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)	
Textiles and Footwear	0	0	0	
Furniture	0	0	0	
Ceramic products	0	0	0	

Tyres	©	0	•
Detergents	©	0	•
Bed Mattresses	©	0	•
Lubricants	©	0	•
Paints and Varnishes	0	0	•
Cosmetic products	0	0	0
Toys	0	0	0
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	0
Absorbent Hygiene Products	0	0	0

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL END-USE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please	select the	end-use	product	group(s)	on	which	you	would	like t	to p	orovide	Э
input:												

All	12	end-use	product	groups	identified

Furniture

Ceramic	products

	Tyres
--	-------

Detergents

Bed Mattresses

Lubricants

Paints and Varnishes

Cosmetic products

Toys

Fishing Nets and Gears

Absorbent Hygiene Products

B. INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

'Intermediate products' are products placed on the market as final products, but which require further (professional) manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for their end-use.

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following intermediate products have been identified as <u>potentially</u> suitable for first action under the ESPR:

- Iron and Steel
- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

For each intermediate product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification of the following intermediate products for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Iron and Steel	0	0	•
Non-Ferrous Metals	0	0	•
Aluminium	0	0	•
Chemicals	0	0	•
Plastic and Polymers	0	0	•
Pulp Paper and Boards	0	0	•
Glass	0	•	0

2. Are there any <u>other</u> intermediate products you believe should be added to this list?

10	00 character(s) maximum

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)
Iron and Steel	0	0	0
Non-Ferrous Metals	0	0	0
Aluminium	0	0	0
Chemicals	0	0	0
Plastic and Polymers	0	0	0
Pulp Paper and Boards	0	0	0
Glass	•	0	0

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL INTERMEDIATE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please	select the	intermediate	product	group(s)	on which	you would	like to	provide
input:								

- All 7 intermediate product groups identified
- Iron and Steel
- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

GLASS

Product scope: Container glass, flat glass, continuous filament glass fibre, domestic glass, special glass, mineral wool, high temperature insulation wools and frits.

1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope description?

- I agree with the product scope description as set out above
- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

Please specify elements to be **removed:**

Text of 1 to 100 characters will be accepted

Flat glass (because most products already covered by the CPR which mirror the ESPR)

2. How do you believe glass could best be regulated under the ESPR?
at most 1 choice(s)
As an intermediate product
By regulating their use in in end-use products/applications
Both ways
Other
Please specify
Text of 1 to 100 characters will be accepted
For flat glass, existing EU leg. could mirror ESPR since ~90% of products sold in EU are made in EU.
3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would contribute to better
addressing the environmental sustainability aspects of glass compared to
existing EU level legislation/initiatives?
Yes
No
No opinion
4. For glass, which of the following products aspects do you believe will be
the most important to regulate under ESPR?
at most 3 choice(s)
Improving durability and reliability
Ease of repair and maintenance
Ease of recycling of materials
Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
Resource use or resource efficiency
Use or content of recycled materials
Presence of substances of concern
Energy use or energy efficiency
The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
Other
5. Do you wish to add comments on glass?

500 character(s) maximum

Glass is very diverse. Different raw materials and manufacturing processes are used depending on the applications. Products are varied, like short-lived bottles or 30 years lifetime flat glass products, and face their own realities (e.g. durability, recycling). Even flat glass can be used in products diverse enough to demand product-specific requirements, which are also covered in numerous sustainability-related legislation & standards. This should be considered before taking measures under ESPR

C. HORIZONTAL MEASURES

The ESPR proposal includes the possibility, when needed, of setting horizontal measures – in other words, cross-cutting measures applicable to two or more product groups sharing common characteristics.

Based on the preliminary analysis described in the main introduction to this questionnaire, five areas for horizontal measures which may be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR have been identified: Durability, Recyclability, Post-Consumer Recycled Content, Lightweight Design, and Sustainable Sourcing. After assessment, three of these aspects were retained for consultation:

- Durability: for the purposes of this consultation, 'durability' covers the aspects of 'reliability', 'reparability', 'reusability' and 'upgradability'.
- Recyclability: for the purposes of this consultation, 'recycling' means recovery operations of any
 kind via which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances, whether for
 the original or other purposes, excluding energy recovery.
- Post-Consumer Recycled Content: for the purposes of this consultation, 'post-consumer recycled content' refers to the amount of post-consumer recycled material that goes into the manufacturing of a new product.

The remaining two (Lightweight Design and Sustainable Sourcing) require further elaboration before drafting of the ESPR working plan, and are therefore not covered by this questionnaire.

The three horizontal measures retained for consideration are accompanied by sets of suggested provisions via which they could be concretely implemented. While this approach would entail alignment of certain definitions, principles, regulatory formulations and verification procedures, the exact content of the provisions could differ and be adapted, depending on the characteristics of the product categories to which they would apply.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON HORIZONTAL MEASURES

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the horizontal measures identified for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Durability	0	0	•
Recyclability	0	0	•

	Post-Consumer Recycled Content	0	0	0	
2. /	Are there any other horizon	ntal me	easures vo	ou believe s	should be added to
	s list?				
	© Yes				
	No				
	II. QUESTIONS ON I	NDIVI	DUAL HO	RIZONTA	L MEASURES
Dla	ease select the horizontal me	acuro/c	s) on which	you would	lika ta provida input:
	All 3 horizontal measures	•		i you would	like to provide iriput.
		identilie	2 a		
	Durability				
	Recyclability	•			
	Post-Consumer Recycled	Conter	nt .		
Du	urability				
	the purposes of this consultation, 'd' 'upgradability'	durability'	covers the a	spects of ' <i>relial</i>	bility', 'reparability', 'reusability
1.	Do you believe there are p	roducts	s with cha	racteristics	that are similar
en	ough to enable horizontal	durabil	ity measu	res to be d	eveloped for them?
	Yes				
	No				
	If yes, please indicate <u>one</u>				
	nilar enough to enable hor em:	izontai	durability	measures	to be developed for
uie		ıcto			
	Absorbent Hygiene Produ	icis			
	Bed Mattresses				
	Ceramic products				
	Cosmetic Products				
	Detergents				
	Fishing Nets and Gears				
	Furniture				
	Lubricants				
	Paints and Varnishes				

Textiles
Toys
Tyres
Aluminium
Chemicals
Glass
Iron and Steel
Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
Plastic and Polymers
Non-ferrous metals
Transport equipment
Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
Other (please specify product)
Animal care products
Products containing Critical Raw Materials
ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of durability?

	Disagree
Minimum lifetime and labelling	0
Resistance to stresses or ageing mechanisms (e.g. resistance to drop/shock /abrasion; ingress protection)	0
Minimum durability of function (e.g. water repellence, colour fastness, dimensional stability)	0
Introduction of a reparability scoring index/label	0
Availability of repair (+upgrade) information and maintenance instructions to independent operators and/or end users	0
Spare part (and software upgrade) availability and delivery time	0
Disassembly generally or related to Tools, Fasteners, Working Environment and Skill Level	0
Use of component and material coding standards for the identification of components and materials	0
Use of standard components / Compatibility with commonly available spare parts	0
Number of materials and components used	0
Modularity/Transformability; detachable/adjustable elements	0

4. Do you wish to add comments on <u>durability</u>?

500 character(s) maximum

We limit ourselves to analyzing flat glass products. Flat glass durability characteristics depend on the final applications, e.g., windows, windshields, mirrors. These products are also impacted by non-glass components and are currently scrutinized at the level of CEN and the Construction Products Regulation (building glass) or UNECE (for automotive glass) to specify their levels of performance. For horizontal measures, it seems more adapted to take measures for strictly comparable end products.

RECYCLABILITY

Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards

For the purposes of this consultation, 'recycling' means recovery operations of any kind via which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances, whether for the original or othe purposes, excluding energy recovery
1. Do you believe there are products with characteristics that are <u>similar</u>
enough to enable horizontal recyclability measures to be developed for them?
Yes
No
2. If yes, please indicate <u>products</u> with characteristics that are similar enough
to enable horizontal recyclability measures to be developed for them:
Absorbent Hygiene Products
Bed Mattresses
Ceramic products
Cosmetic Products
Detergents
Fishing Nets and Gears
Furniture
Lubricants
Paints and Varnishes
Textiles
Toys
Tyres
Aluminium
Chemicals
Glass
Iron and Steel

Plastic and Polymers
Non-ferrous metals
Transport equipment
Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
Other (please specify product)
Animal care products
Products containing Critical Raw Materials
ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of recyclability?

	Disagree
Ability to easily separate the product into different materials	0
Choice of materials and restrictions on substances (e.g. choice and combination of polymers; homogeneous fibres)	0
Access to product data relevant for recycling, including dismantling information (e.g. marking of parts and materials, use of component and material coding standards, indicative weight range of different materials including CRMs and environmentally relevant materials, hardware and software needed for the recycling process changes)	0
Introduction of a recyclability scoring index/label	0

4. Do you wish to add comments on recyclability?

500 character(s) maximum

We limit ourselves to analyzing flat glass products. Flat glass is recyclable, and the industry is engaging in efforts to recycle more glass waste. Challenges in recyclability highly depend on the application due to the particular transformation process of all flat glass end-products. Requirements would be better adapted per products and organizations like CEN or legislations like the Construction Products Reg. & End-of-Life Vehicles Dir. would be better adapted to define these requirements.

POST-CONSUMER RECYCLED CONTENT

For the purposes of this consultation, 'post-consumer recycled content' refers to the amount of post-consumer recycled material that goes into the manufacturing of a new product

1. Do you believe there are <u>products with characteristics that are similar</u> <u>enough</u> to enable horizontal <u>post-consumer recycled content</u> measures to be developed for them?

Yes

No

to enable horizontal post-consumer recycled content measures to be
developed for them:
Absorbent Hygiene Products
Bed Mattresses
Ceramic products
Cosmetic Products
Detergents
Fishing Nets and Gears
Furniture
Lubricants
Paints and Varnishes
Textiles
Toys
Tyres
Aluminium
Chemicals
Glass
Iron and Steel
Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
Plastic and Polymers
Non-ferrous metals
Transport equipment
Energy-related products including ICT and electronics
Other (please specify product)
Animal care products
Products containing Critical Raw Materials
ADD ANOTHER SET OF PRODUCTS

2. If yes, please indicate products with characteristics that are similar enough

3. Do you <u>disagree</u> with any of the potential horizontal provisions that could be developed in the area of post-consumer recycled content?

	Disagree
Provisions on minimum content of post-consumer recycled material expressed either as a fraction of the total material input (in %) or in absolute numbers (<i>kg per unit; million tonnes Mt in aggregates</i>)	0

4. Do you wish to add comments on post-consumer recycled content?

500 character(s) maximum

Such criteria cannot even be universalized within glass products. Some glass products can use lower-quality glass waste and thus easily reach high recycling content, while others need high-quality glass waste which is not necessarily available. Recycling requirements should be product specific and consider the realities of each manufacturing process.

D. FINAL REMARKS

If you wish to add further information or elaborate on your views, please do so here:

1000 character(s) maximum

Glass cannot be considered one product due to its variety as intermediate products and when transformed into end-products.

Flat glass (30% of the glass melted in the EU) is mostly used as construction products (85%) and subjected to CEN/TC129 standards & the CPR (which will mirror the ESPR in the future). Many other legislations similarly cover the JRC report's points (or plan to do so), e.g., EU ETS, Industrial Emissions Dir., Energy Efficiency Dir., REACH, Waste Framework Dir., End-of-Life Vehicles Dir., EPBD. As for sand sourcing, this is a minor issue in the glass sector which represents <1% of the 50bn tonnes of sand extracted yearly. Most flat glass products sold in the EU are made from sand sustainably sourced in the EU.

Therefore, the added value of ESPR for flat glass is not evident. It would increase legal complexity and lower manufacturers' efficiency, including on sustainability issues. Such an additional layer of legislation does not offer perceivable added value.

If you wish to upload a supporting document, please do so here:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

3a5c208f-7369-45a4-a9c9-eac3fc24427f/Glass_for_Europe_paper_Sustainability_and_ESPR_May2023.pdf

Contact

ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu