Contribution ID: 39f1a6c6-d763-4a43-9f7a-91a6e5058a06

Date: 28/07/2023 17:51:22

JRC Construction & Demolition Waste Workshop Survey

	ľ
Fields marked with * are mandatory.	

Introduction

The aim of this questionnaire is to validate and complement the techno-scientific data provided in the workshop background document entitled "Techno-economic and environmental assessment of construction and demolition waste management".

Therefore, we kindly request you to fill in the survey by 28 July at 18.00 (CEST). Your participation in this questionnaire is crucial to ensure a successful project, and would thus be greatly appreciated.

The individual responses and results of the participating organisations to this survey will not be publicly available and will exclusively be accessible to the Joint Research Centre and other European Commission services for the development of policies on construction and demolition waste.

* Geographical scope of your organisation

AT - Austria
FI - Finland
LT - Lithuania

BG - Bulgaria
DE - Germany
MT - Malta

BE - Belgium
FR - France
LU - Luxembourg

At most 1 choice

Int	ormation on your organisation
* We	kindly request the submission of one consolidated reply per organisation.
Org	anisation Name
	Glass for Europe
* Nan	ne and surname of the organisation representative
	Iva Ganev
* Ema	ail of the organisation or organisation representative
	iva.ganev@glassforeurope.com
_	

SI - Slovenia

SE - Sweden

ES - Spain

	R - Croatia	EL - Greece	NL - Netherlands	ALL - ALL (Pa	n-European)
© C	Y - Cyprus	HU - Hungary	PL - Poland	OTHER ple	ase specify below
© C	Z - Czechia	IE - Ireland	PT - Portugal		
© D	K - Denmark	Tr - Italy	RO - Romania		
◎ E	E - Estonia	V - Latvia	SK - Slovak Repub	olic	
* Type of	organisation				
at most	t 1 choice(s)				
M	lember State o	r Member State	representative		
In	dustry organis	ation (please fur	ther specify below)		
N	on-governmen	ntal organisation			
N	on-profit organ	nisation			
R	esearch organ	isation			
In	nternational org	janisation			
	ther, please sp	pecify below:			
			e main focus of your a	ctivities:	
	answers possi				
□ D	emolition and	collection of CDV	V		
S S	orting and recy	cling of CDW			
P	roducing finish	ed construction p	products (from primary	sources)	
P	roducing finish	ed construction p	products (from primary	and secondary source	es)
D	esigning and p	lanning buildings	s and infrastructure		
☐ G	ieneral waste r	management serv	vices		
 ✓ O	ther, please sp	pecify below:			
O41					
Other:					
Euro	opean associa	tion of flat glass p	procucers and glazing v	alue chain	
* I consen	t that any res	ponses and info	ormation provided in th	nis questionnaire ma	ay be used for the
		RC reports on C	·	·	•
V Y	es	•	_		
N	0				
		ı condition that ar	ny information provided	is anonymised, and t	that the data provided cannot be
	•	nked to my organ	•	•	•
* I agree to	o be contacte	d by the JRC to	further expand on the	answers provided,	, if necessary.
If deeme	ed suitable for t	the further develo	opment of the project, the	ne JRC may want to f	follow up with a limited number
		h case, the JRC	will contact your organi	sation via email to sc	hedule a telephone or videocall
V Y	es				
■ N	0				
Section	on on pos	sible target	s for "preparing	for re-use an	d recycling targets"
for CE	W				

1. Waste characterization (section 2 of the background document)

- Target group for answer: all actors
- Objective of the guestion: update and/or validate the state-of-the-art for waste data
- Click (?) for more background

Are you aware of any (additional) data on the generation and composition of excavated soils, dredging spoils and infrastructure waste?

Poor information is available on soil and dredging spoils generation and composition as well as on infrastructure waste, across EU. YES NO
Specify:
1500 character(s) maximum
2. Recycling technologies (section 4 of the background document).
 Target group for answer: Actors involved in the recycling of CDW, research organisations. Objective of question: increase insights on recycling technologies available. Click (?) for more background
Is the description in section 4 of the background document covering the main recycling technologies for CDW or are there any additional innovative or emerging technologies that could play a role in the near future (2023-2035)?
Focus is on emerging technologies, e.g. for recovery of cement or other materials from the mineral fractions of CDW, like bricks or concrete. TypeS
□ NO
Please specify (links to documents or information):
1500 character(s) maximum
O Cail waste and dradaing anaile vasualing technologies (seation O 4 of the beakground decomposit)

- 3. Soil waste and dredging spoils recycling technologies (section 3-4 of the background document).
 - Target group for answer: Actors involved in the recycling of soil waste, research organisations.
 - Objective of question: increase insights on recycling technologies available.
 - Click (?) for more background
- a) Based on your knowledge, is recovery via stabilization (with lime or cement) a commonly applied pathway for excavated soils and dredging spoils?

Little knowledge is available on soil waste treatment across EU27.

YES
□ NO
Please specify:
1500 character(s) maximum
b) Based on your knowledge, is use in agriculture a commonly applied pathway for excavated soils and dredging spoil?
Little knowledge is available on soil waste treatment across EU27.
YES
□ NO
Please specify:
1500 character(s) maximum
4. Life and a consequent and life and a costing (continue E of the healthway and decomposit)
4. Life cycle assessment and life cycle costing (section 5 of the background document)
 Target group for answers: Private companies active in the collection & recycling of CDW.
Objective of question: validate and update the data used for LCA/LCC from operational plants.
More background (click (?) below)
Would you be able to provide additional data to update the environmental impacts from the
recycling stage in the life cycle assessment models used, and if so, would you be available to be
contacted by JRC to form part of a technical working subgroup on LCA/LCC?
The JRC has performed a life cycle assessment based on data available in techno-scientific literature as well as i
house data available from other projects. The JRC would like to update this extremely technical assessment,
potentially based on (confidential) data from technology providers, particularly recyclers. Therefore, we aim to set up a small technical working group with companies active in recycling to review and update the outcomes
presented in the background document. On this occasion, we would like to receive preliminary feedback on the
private cost figures presented to ensure that these are in the ballpark for actual summed capital and expenditure
costs for the sorting, collection, recycling, incineration and landfilling of CDW.
Yes
□ No
Please add any further relevant information and comments, if appropriate:
ricass and any farmor relevant information and comments, if appropriate.

5. Drivers and barriers to a sustainable and circular management of CDW.

4

- Target group for answers (non-exhaustive): all actors involved in CDW management, member states authorities, others.
- Objective of question: to identify and understand well the barriers to recycling so as to enable to develop policy measures that effectively address the barriers to recycling.

a) Please rate the following statements in relation to potential barriers to recycling:

Statement:	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	strongly agree
Lack of customers for CDW headed to reuse or recycling is a barrier to increasing recycling	•	0	0	0	•
Products from recycled CDW cost too much in comparison to primary materials	0	0	0	•	0
Products from recycled CDW are perceived as low quality or unknown quality	0	•	0	0	0
There are not enough recycling facilities near to the construction sites in my region	0	0	•	0	0
Lack of investment is the only barrier to scaling CDW recycling processes	0	•	0	0	0
Currently, Member States collect enough data on CDW to monitor (possible) new recycling targets for individual material fractions	0	0	•	0	0

b) In your opinion, how much more are consumers willing to pay for CDW from secondary materials?

	Consumers are not willing to pay a price premium
	1% to 3%
	4% to 6%
	7% to 10%
	10% to 20%
0	No Answer

6. Market for secondary materials from CDW.

Ceramics and tiles

Target group for answers (non-exhaustive): all actors involved in CDW management, member states authorities, others.

Objective of question: to understand better the market for recycled materials.

a) Do you know your regional CDW market well?	If so, for which fraction would you be able to rank
the biggest barriers?	

e bi	biggest barriers?		
\bigcirc	Concrete		
	Bricks		

	\bigcirc	Mineral wool
	0	Soil
	0	Dredging Spoil
	0	PVC
	0	No Answer / None
b) ł	Hov	wwould you rank the biggest barriers to recycling the fraction you selected above?
U.	se d	drag&drop or the up/down buttons to change the order or accept the initial order.
	:	Recycling costs too much
	::	Recycling is not available in my region
	$\overline{}$	

7. Feasibility of preparing for re-use and recycling targets of individual fractions of CDW.

- Target group for answers (non-exhaustive): all actors involved in CDW management, member states authorities, others
- Objective of question: Insights on feasibility of possible targets.

Lack of skills for recycling available in my region

Lack of information on recycling available in my region

Not enough customers for recycled construction material in my region

WoodGlassMetalsGypsum

H

H

H

Please, suggest the ambition of possible 'preparing for re-use and recycling' targets (% of collected material that is prepared for re-use or recycling):

Article 11 requires the Commission to consider, by 31 December 2024, preparing for re-use and recycling targets for construction and demolition waste and its material-specific fractions. Furthermore, Article 5(9) of Directive 1999 /31/EC on the landfill of waste requires the Commission to consider, by 31 December 2024, introducing restrictions to the landfilling of non-hazardous waste other than municipal waste (including through targets).

	No target	25%-to- 50%	50%-to- 75%	>75%	No answer
Concrete	0	0	0	0	•
Bricks	0	0	0	0	•
Ceramics and tiles	0	0	0	0	•
Wood	0	0	0	0	•
Glass	0	0	0	•	0
Metals	0	0	0	0	•

Gypsum	0	0	0	0	•
Mineral wool	0	0	0	0	•
PVC	0	0	0	0	•
Insulation plastic (EPS, PUR, XPS)	0	0	0	0	•
Soil	0	0	0	0	•
Dredging spoils	0	0	0	0	•

O. 1	ay upload a me to transmit information, e.g. if have you identified haws, errors of incorrect	
conclusions in the draft JRC report or to provide additional inputs or data.		

Please upload your file with comments.

 $822f0b17-4895-41d9-872b-c99a2d276a9f/Glass_for_Europe_-_Input_to_CDW_consultation_-_July_2023. \\$ docx

DISCLAIMER: Please note that the answers provided in this consultation will be used to improve the study and the report. JRC may contact you to further discuss on specific aspects if deemed relevant and necessary. In the next version of the report, JRC will document how and where feedbacks have been incorporated.

JRC contact details

For any further questions in relation to this questionnaire, please contact the JRC at JRC-ENV-RESEARCH@ec.europa.eu (using the subject CDW TARGETS).

Privacy statement

When using EU Survey for creating and managing surveys, the Commission collects and further processes personal data pursuant to Regulation (EU) N° 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data. For more information, please consult https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/home/privacystatement

Contact

JRC-ENV-RESEARCH@ec.europa.eu